REFERENCES

1.   Budaev E.V., Chudinov A.P. (2020). Political metaphorology at the present stage of development (2010-2019), Issues of cognitive linguistics, no. 3,
pp. 56–70. DOI: 10.20916/1812-3228-2020-3-56-70.
2.   Chudinov A.P., Budaev E.V., Solopova O.A. (2020). Political metaphorology. Discursive rotation. Monograph. Moscow: Flinta. 236 p.
3.   Voroshilova M.B., Chudinov A.P. (2019). Rus- sian political linguistics at the present stage of development: the transformation of political discourse in the conditions of digitalization, Russian abroad, no. 4 (275), pp. 4–9.
4.   Plotnikova M.V. (2021). Impact of new media discourse on young people (the case of TikTok), Management Issues, no. 2 (69), pp. 16–30. DOI: 10.2 2394/2304-3369-2021-2-16-30.
5.   Bogoyavlenskaya Yu., Plotnikova M. (2019). Web Application «Linguistica»: Concept and Possibilities of Utilization for Purpose of Increasing University's Virtual Interface Attractiveness. Convention 2019 «Modernization and Multiple Modernities», KnE Social Sciences, pp. 99–105. DOI: 10.18502/k ss.v4i13.7702.
6.   Novikov N.V. (2017). Communicative strate- gies for digital diplomacy. Abstract of Ph. D. thesis. Moscow City Pedagogical University. Moscow. 22 p.
7.   Vikulova L.G., Makarova I.V., Novikov N.V. (2016). Institutional discourse of digital diplomacy: New communicative practices, Bulletin of the Volgograd State University. Series 2: Linguistics, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 54–65. DOI: 10.15688/jvolsu2.2016.3.6.
8.   Ruhenzheva N.B. (2004). Discretising tactics and techniques in the Russian political discourse. Monograph. Ekaterinburg: Ural State Pedagogical University. 294 p.
9.   Ruhenzheva N.B. (2018). Methodology and methods for analyzing massive political text. Monograph. Ekaterinburg: Ural State Pedagogical University. 208 p.
10.   Kushneruk S.L., Chudinov A.P. (2019). Formation of linguistics of information and psychological war: methodological heterogeneity and first results, Ecology of language and communicative prac- tice, no. 4-1, pp. 105–118. DOI: 10.17516/2311- 3499-081.
11.   Solopova O.A., Ilyushkina M.Yu. (2017). Rus- sia as a target domain in American, British and Cana- dian political discourses, Bulletin of the South Ural State University. Series: Linguistics, vol. 14, no. 3,
pp. 41–48. DOI: 10.14529/ling170306.
12.   Plotnikova M.V. (2019). We tried our best, you know the rest: destructive verbal behavior of politi- cal figures as a factor of discrediting the government, Management issues, no. 5 (60), pp. 42–50. DOI: 10.2 2394/2304-3369-2019-5-42-50.
13.   Boguyavlenskaya Yu.V., Chudinov A.P. (2018). The interaction of parcelion and metaphor as a means of attense focusing in artistic and journalistic discourses, Language and culture, no. 41, pp. 24–39. DOI: 10.17223/19996195/41/2.
14.   Bogoyavlenskaya Yu.V. (2018). Parcelation as a cognitive-semiotic phenomenon, Bulletin of Tomsk State University. Philology, no. 55, pp. 5–16. DOI: 10.17223/19986645/55/1.
15.   Buzheninov A.E. (2021). Metaphor of sports and games in investment discourse (on the mate- rial of the English language), Bulletin of the Perm National Research Polytechnic University. Problems of linguistics and pedagogy, no. 1, pp. 45–55. DOI: 10.15593/2224-9389/2021.1.3.
16.   Dobrosklonskaya T.G. (2020). Medialinguistics: Theory, methods, directions. Monograph. Moscow: KDU, Dobrosvet. 178 p. DOI: 10.31453/k du.ru.91304.0107.

17.   Issers O.S. (2011). Speech impact. 2nd ed. Moscow: Flint; Science. 224 p.
18.   Dubenets N.B. (2020). Realization of the men- acing speech act in american diplomatic discourse (based on Donald Trump's speeches on Twitter), Political linguistics, no. 5 (83), pp. 80–86. DOI: 10.261 70/pl20-05-08.

19. Parshina O.N. (2007). Russian political speech: theory and practice. Moscow: LKI Publishing House. 232 p.

20. Habekirova Z.S. (2011). Discrediting strategy and methods of its implementation in the political discourse of the democratic opposition, Bulletin of the Adygei State University. Series 2: Philology and art history, no. 2, pp. 138–144.

21. Voroshilova M.B. (2013). Political creolized text: Keys to reading. Monograph. Ekaterinburg: Ural State Pedagogical University. 194 p.

22. Issers O.S. (1999). Communicative strategies and tactics of Russian speech. Omsk: Omsk State University. 285 p.

23. Ruzhentseva N.B., Nakhimova E.A. (2019). Label functions in the communicative portrait pol- icy (on the material of modern printed media), Ecology of language and communicative practice, no. 4-2, pp. 87–98. DOI: 10.17516/2311-3499-093.

24. Lisyutkina I.S. (2021). The dynamics of the implementation of the discredit strategy in the me- dia discourse in 1950-2019 (based on Russian and English languages). Ph. D. degree dissertation. Sara- tov National Research State University named after
N. G. Chernyshevsky. Saratov. 247 p.

25. Mironova P.O. (2003). Strategy of reductionism in modern political discourse: cognitive-pragmatic aspect. Abstract of Ph. D. thesis. Ural State Pedagogical University. Ekaterinburg. 23 p.